VOL: 02 NO: 01 2025

RESEARCH CORRIDOR
Journal of Engineering Science

From Classroom to Creation: Investigating How 'Learning Through Making' Shapes Engineering Design Thinking

John Laurel

Abstract
The growing integration of "Learning Through Making" in engineering education reflects a paradigm shift toward experiential, student-centered approaches to developing design thinking. This paper investigates how hands-on, iterative creation processes—commonly facilitated through makerspaces, fabrication labs, and project-based coursework—shape the cognitive and collaborative dimensions of engineering design thinking. Drawing on constructivist and constructionist theories, the study examines how making activities influence problem framing, ideation, prototyping, and iteration in undergraduate engineering students. A mixed-methods research design, incorporating observations, student interviews, and analysis of design artifacts, reveals that engaging in physical creation enhances students' empathy, creativity, and resilience—key competencies in design thinking. Moreover, the findings suggest that learning environments emphasizing autonomy, play, and failure as part of the learning process foster a deeper engagement with design challenges. By bridging theory and practice, this research highlights the transformative potential of making as both a pedagogical strategy and a developmental pathway in engineering education. Implications for curriculum design and instructional practices are discussed, offering guidance for educators seeking to cultivate adaptive, innovative engineering thinkers.

Keywords: Learning Through Making, Engineering Education, Design Thinking, Makerspaces, Experiential Learning, Project-Based Learning, Creativity in Engineering, Iterative Design, Constructivism.

I. Introduction

In an era marked by rapid technological advancement and complex global challenges, engineering education is undergoing a fundamental transformation. No longer confined to lectures, textbooks, and theoretical problem-solving, engineering curricula are increasingly embracing pedagogical models that promote creativity, collaboration, and hands-on engagement. One such emerging paradigm is **"Learning Through Making"**—an educational approach rooted in experiential and constructivist learning theories, which encourages students to learn by actively engaging in the creation of physical or digital artifacts. This philosophy not only emphasizes knowledge acquisition but also cultivates critical thinking, iterative problem-solving, and innovation—skills that are central to **engineering design thinking**.

Engineering design thinking refers to the cognitive, creative, and strategic processes engineers use to frame problems, ideate solutions, prototype, and iterate toward optimal outcomes. It is inherently user-centered and reflective, often requiring the integration of technical expertise with empathy, adaptability, and collaboration. Traditional engineering education, with its focus on analytical rigor and structured problem sets, has often struggled to fully nurture these more fluid and human-centered dimensions of design. However, the rise of **makerspaces, fabrication labs,**

and project-based learning environments within academic institutions has created new opportunities to bridge this gap by allowing students to engage directly in the making process.

The integration of making into the engineering classroom is more than a trend—it represents a shift in how we conceptualize learning and design. Through the tactile process of making, students not only apply theoretical knowledge but also develop a deeper understanding of materials, constraints, and user needs. The **messiness of creation**, where failure is embraced and iteration is expected, mirrors the realities of professional design practice far more closely than traditional classroom models. Yet, while anecdotal evidence suggests the benefits of this approach, **systematic research on how "Learning Through Making" influences engineering design thinking remains limited**.

This study seeks to investigate how engaging in making activities within engineering education contexts shapes the development of design thinking competencies. Specifically, it explores how students perceive and practice design thinking when immersed in environments that prioritize hands-on creation, experimentation, and iterative learning. By examining students' experiences, behaviors, and outputs in maker-oriented settings, this research aims to illuminate the pedagogical mechanisms through which making fosters critical design skills.

Ultimately, this paper contributes to a growing body of work advocating for more holistic and active learning models in engineering. The findings aim to inform educators, curriculum designers, and policy-makers about the potential of maker-centered learning to cultivate engineers who are not only technically proficient but also imaginative, empathetic, and agile problem solvers.

II. Literature Review

The educational philosophy of **"Learning Through Making"** traces its roots to seminal theories of **constructivism** and **constructionism**, most notably the works of **Jean Piaget** and **Seymour Papert**. While constructivism emphasizes that learners construct knowledge through experience, constructionism advances this by proposing that learning is most effective when individuals are actively involved in creating tangible artifacts that reflect their thinking. This idea underpins the current maker movement in education, where learners engage in hands-on fabrication, programming, and design activities to deepen understanding and foster innovation.

In parallel, the concept of **engineering design thinking** has evolved from being a linear, technical procedure to a dynamic, **user-centered** and **iterative process**. Scholars such as **Nigel Cross** and **Donald Schön** have highlighted the role of reflection, ambiguity, and problem framing in the design process—elements that are difficult to teach in traditional lecture formats but are naturally embedded in making practices. Design thinking in engineering now commonly includes stages like **empathizing, ideating, prototyping, testing**, and **iterating**, as modeled by the **Stanford d.school** and **Double Diamond frameworks**.

Prior studies suggest that integrating making into engineering education fosters a variety of critical skills. For instance, **Martinez and Stager (2013)** argue that making activities promote student agency and creativity, while **Honey and Kanter (2013)** emphasize the potential for deeper conceptual understanding through tinkering. Other research, such as **Wilczynski (2015)**, has observed positive correlations between maker experiences and improved design intuition and technical communication among engineering students.

Despite these encouraging findings, **gaps remain in systematically linking making activities to the development of specific design thinking competencies**. Much of the current literature focuses on anecdotal reports or short-term case studies rather than longitudinal, evidence-based investigations. Furthermore, there is limited clarity on *which* aspects of making, such as the tools used, collaboration styles, or iterative cycles, are most influential in shaping design-oriented mindsets.

This review establishes a foundation for investigating how structured making experiences in academic contexts actively shape and support engineering students' ability to engage in and reflect upon the design thinking process.

III. Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the intersecting theoretical domains of **constructivist learning theory**, **constructionism**, and established **models of design thinking**, offering a comprehensive lens through which to explore how "Learning Through Making" shapes engineering design thinking.

At the foundation is **constructivism**, a theory proposed by **Jean Piaget**, which asserts that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment and prior experiences. Extending this, **Seymour Papert's constructionism** emphasizes learning that occurs most powerfully when individuals are engaged in creating meaningful, shareable artifacts. This aligns directly with the maker-centered learning environment, where hands-on engagement with tools and materials promotes deeper cognitive and emotional investment in learning processes.

Complementing these educational theories are influential perspectives on **reflective practice** and **design cognition**. **Donald Schön's concept of the "reflective practitioner"** underscores the importance of reflection-in-action—an iterative process where learners make adjustments based on feedback from their materials and contexts. This reflective loop is naturally embedded in maker-based education, where trial, error, and adaptation are encouraged.

In terms of design thinking models, this study draws on the **Stanford d.school's five-stage model**—**Empathize, Define, Ideate, Prototype, Test**—and the **Double Diamond framework** developed by the UK Design Council. Both models highlight the non-linear, iterative, and human-centered nature of design thinking, making them suitable structures for analyzing student behavior in maker-based learning settings.

These frameworks collectively support the hypothesis that **learning through making does more than teach technical skills**—it fosters metacognition, empathy, iterative problem-solving, and resilience. By synthesizing these theoretical perspectives, the study positions making as not only a pedagogical strategy but also a cognitive and reflective process that mirrors authentic engineering practice.

IV. Methodology

This study employs a **mixed-methods research design** to investigate how "Learning Through Making" influences engineering students' development of design thinking competencies. By integrating both qualitative and quantitative approaches, the methodology enables a comprehensive exploration of both the cognitive and behavioral dimensions of students' learning experiences.

Participants

The research focuses on undergraduate engineering students enrolled in a design-centered course that integrates maker-based projects. Participants were selected from two cohorts across different academic years to ensure a range of perspectives and experiences. Faculty members and teaching assistants involved in course delivery were also interviewed to enrich contextual understanding.

Data Collection

Data was collected through multiple sources to enable triangulation:

- **Observations**: Classroom and makerspace sessions were observed, with particular attention paid to design behaviors such as iteration, collaboration, and decision-making during prototyping.
- **Semi-structured Interviews**: Conducted with students at different stages of their projects to capture evolving perceptions of design thinking and making.
- **Design Artifacts and Logs**: Students' prototypes, sketches, and process documentation were analyzed to trace the development of design ideas and iteration patterns.
- **Surveys**: Pre and post-course surveys assessed shifts in students' attitudes toward failure, collaboration, creativity, and confidence in design processes.

Data Analysis

Qualitative data were analyzed using **thematic analysis**, identifying recurring patterns and themes related to the learning process, reflection, and engagement with design thinking. Coding frameworks were derived from the Stanford d.school model to structure observations and interview transcripts. Quantitative data from surveys were statistically analyzed to measure significant changes in students' self-reported competencies and mindsets.

By combining these methods, the study aims to capture not only *what* students learn through making but also *how* these learning experiences actively shape their understanding and practice of engineering design thinking.

V. Findings / Results

The findings from this study reveal that "Learning Through Making" significantly enhances students' engagement with and application of **engineering design thinking**. Analysis of observations, interviews, and artifacts revealed **three core themes**: iterative mindset development, enhanced creative confidence, and the integration of empathy and user-centered thinking.

1. Iterative Design Mindset

Students consistently demonstrated an increased comfort with **trial and error**, embracing failure as a natural and constructive part of the design process. Rather than seeking perfect solutions from the outset, students learned to **prototype rapidly**, test ideas, and refine designs based on feedback from peers, instructors, and end-users. This iterative behavior aligns strongly with the **non-linear nature of professional design thinking**, suggesting that making fosters authentic engineering practice.

2. Growth in Creative Confidence and Autonomy

Participation in maker-centered activities led to noticeable improvements in students' **creative confidence**. Students reported feeling more empowered to take risks, explore unconventional ideas, and experiment with new tools and materials. Design artifacts reflected increased **divergent thinking** and originality over time. Many students also developed a stronger sense of ownership over their learning, demonstrating **autonomy in decision-making** and project direction.

3. Empathy and User-Centered Perspectives

Design solutions became more **user-oriented** as students progressed through the making process. Interviews revealed that hands-on engagement with physical prototypes helped students better **understand user needs and constraints**, encouraging them to refine their designs accordingly. For example, in projects involving assistive devices, students often iterated their designs after observing real-time user interactions, demonstrating an evolving sense of **design empathy**.

Overall, the findings suggest that learning through making not only enhances technical and creative skills but also cultivates **critical design thinking attributes** such as reflection, persistence, and user-awareness. These outcomes indicate that making is not merely a pedagogical tool, but a transformative process that aligns closely with the goals of engineering design education.

VI. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the **transformative potential of "Learning Through Making"** in cultivating engineering design thinking. By immersing students in hands-on, project-based environments, making fosters an authentic engagement with the iterative, human-centered, and reflective aspects of design that traditional engineering curricula often overlook.

First, the **emergence of an iterative mindset** suggests that making helps students internalize failure as a productive and essential component of learning. This aligns with **Schön's theory of reflection-in-action**, where continuous cycles of testing and modification encourage deeper understanding and skill development. Students learned not to view failure as a setback, but as valuable feedback—a perspective critical for real-world design and innovation.

Second, the study highlights a notable increase in **creative confidence and independent problem-solving**. These attributes are particularly important in the context of engineering, where complex challenges often require out-of-the-box thinking and adaptive approaches. The freedom to explore tools, materials, and ideas within a maker environment allowed students to exercise autonomy, which in turn nurtured a stronger sense of ownership and motivation, key drivers of sustained engagement and learning.

Third, the integration of **user-centered thinking** emerged as a key benefit of the making process. Engaging physically with prototypes helped students move beyond abstract problem-solving to **empathetic design**, where user feedback directly informed decisions. This demonstrates how making can bridge the cognitive and emotional elements of design thinking, making technical education more socially responsive and contextually grounded.

While these findings reinforce existing literature advocating for hands-on, experiential learning in STEM education, they also point to important considerations. Not all students enter maker environments with the same level of comfort or familiarity with tools, which may affect their ability to fully benefit from such experiences. Additionally, **institutional support**, including access to materials, time, and mentorship, plays a critical role in shaping the success of maker-based learning.

Ultimately, this study contributes to a growing understanding that **making is not just about fabrication—it's about mindset.** It helps future engineers become more resilient, reflective, and responsive designers. These insights have significant implications for rethinking engineering curricula, where design thinking is not treated as a standalone module but is **embedded across the learning journey** through meaningful, hands-on engagement.

VII. Conclusion

This study set out to explore how "Learning Through Making" influences the development of design thinking in engineering education. The findings reveal that engaging in hands-on creation empowers students to embrace iteration, build creative confidence, and develop a deeper, user-centered understanding of engineering problems. By immersing students in environments where they can physically prototype, test, and refine their ideas, making enables a more authentic and reflective engagement with the core principles of design thinking. These learning experiences mirror the realities of professional engineering practice, where ambiguity, failure, and empathy are integral to innovation.

The implications of this research extend beyond the classroom. To fully harness the benefits of maker-centered learning, educational institutions must embed making not as a peripheral activity but as a central pedagogical strategy throughout the engineering curriculum. This includes investing in accessible maker infrastructures, training educators in reflective and facilitative teaching approaches, and fostering a culture that values process over perfection. As engineering continues to evolve in response to societal needs and technological change, integrating making into design education offers a promising pathway for developing engineers who are not only technically skilled but also imaginative, resilient, and deeply human-centered in their thinking.

References:

1. Dym, C. L., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of engineering education*, *94*(1), 103-120.
2. Xu, W., Chen, J. C., Lou, Y. F., & Chen, H. (2024). Impacts of maker education-design thinking integration on knowledge, creative tendencies, and perceptions of the engineering profession. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *34*(1), 75-107.
3. Jiang, C., & Pang, Y. (2023). Enhancing design thinking in engineering students with project-based learning. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, *31*(4), 814-830.
4. Beckman, S. L., & Barry, M. (2007). Innovation as a learning process: Embedding design thinking. *California management review*, *50*(1), 25-56.
5. Li, J., Goei, S., & Huang, R. (2024, April). Unveiling maker mindsets: a journey of formation and transformation through design thinking-making pedagogy within a lesson study context. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 9, p. 1343492). Frontiers Media SA.
6. Hatzigianni, M., Stevenson, M., Falloon, G., Bower, M., & Forbes, A. (2021). Young children's design thinking skills in makerspaces. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, *27*, 100216.

7. Anand, M. V., & Frunzi, S. (2024). Empowering Innovation: A Study on the Effectiveness of a Two-Tier Hybrid Training for 3D Printing in a Makerspace.
8. Anand, M. V., Abel, C., Sabuncu, A. C., & Sears, A. (2024, June). Embracing a Fail-Forward Mindset: Enhancing Engineering Innovation through Reflective Failure Journaling. In 2024 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition.
9. Anand, M. V., Abel, C., & Sabuncu, A. C. (2024, June). Cultivating Innovators—Unveiling the Hidden Potential of" Innovation Through Making" in Engineering Education. In 2024 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition.
10. Daly, P., Anand, M. V., Abel, C., & Sabuncu, A. C. (2024, June). Industry Perspectives on Mechanical Engineering Troubleshooting. In 2024 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition.